

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – PR& RD Department – Allegation of possession of Rs.16,000/- for which he could not account for - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri Shaik Ahmed, Assistant Engineer, O/o the Dy. Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, PIU Sub-Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District – Inquiry conducted – Further action dropped– Orders – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 246

Dated: 14-05-2019

Read the following:-

1. G.O.Rt.No.293, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.17.02.2012.
2. WSD of Sri Shaik Ahmed, the then Asst. Engineer, PR, PIU Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District, dt.17.05.2012.
3. G.O.Rt.No.600, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.18.09.2015.
4. From Sri Sammi Reddy, IFS, (Retd.), COI U.O. Note No.12/COI-CSR/2015, dt.24.09.2015
5. G.O.Rt.No.869, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.25.10.2016.
6. From Sri Sammi Reddy, IFS, (Retd.), COI D.O.Lr.No.22/Spl.N.COI/2017, dt.15.06.2018.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, a charge was framed against Sri Shaik Ahmed, Assistant Engineer, O/o the Dy. Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, PIU Sub-Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District, under Rule 20 of A.P.Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991, on the allegation of possession of Rs.16,000/- for which he could not account for. The charged officer has submitted his written statement of defence, vide reference 2nd read above.

2. After examining the Written Statement of Defence of the Charged Officer, orders were issued appointing Sri C. Sammi Reddy, IFS (Retd.), Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Department as Inquiring Authority, under Rule 20 (2) of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991, to conduct regular inquiry into the charges framed vide reference 1st read above against Sri Shaik Ahmed, Assistant Engineer, O/o the Dy. Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, PIU Sub-Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District vide reference 3rd read above. Further the Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District was also appointed therein as Presenting Officer, to present the case before the Inquiring Authority, under Rule 20 (5) (c) of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991, on behalf of the disciplinary authority.

3. In the reference 5th read above, amendment has been issued to the G.O. 1st read above with reference to the U.O. Note received in the reference 4th read above.

4. In the reference 6th read above, the Inquiring Authority has submitted his Inquiry Report. The Inquiring Authority in his findings in the Inquiry Report has held that the charge as not proved.

5. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have decided to drop further action and accordingly hereby drop further action against Sri Shaik Ahmed, the then Assistant Engineer, O/o the Dy. Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, PIU Sub-Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District on the allegation of possession of Rs.16,000/- for which he could not account for.

6. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad, shall take further action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

VIKAS RAJ
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

To

Sri Shaik Ahmed, the then Assistant Engineer, O/o the Dy. Executive Engineer,
Panchayat Raj, PIU Sub-Division, Haliya, Nalgonda District
through the Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad.

(P.T.O.)

::2::

The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad.

The Secretary to V.C., Telangana Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad.

SF/SC

//FORWARDED ::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER